

E-Filing

1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)
United States Attorney

2 EMUI L. CHOI (WVSBN 0722)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 SUSAN KNIGHT (CSBN 209013)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900
6 San Jose, California 95113
Telephone: (408) 535-5056
7 FAX: (408) 535-5066
Susan.Knight@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN JOSE DIVISION
13

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 STEVEN ROLAND DOANE,

18 Defendant.
19
20

No. CR 05-00515 RMW

[PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A)
& 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv))

21 On August 11, 2005, the parties appeared before the Court for an arraignment. After the
22 defendant was arraigned and an initial appearance scheduled for August 22, 2005 before the
23 Honorable Judge Whyte, Assistant United States Attorney Susan Knight requested an exclusion
24 of time under the Speedy Trial Act from August 11, 2005 to August 22, 2005 in order for the
25 government to provide discovery to Assistant Federal Public Defender Angela Hansen. The
26 parties stipulated and agreed that an exclusion under Speedy Trial Act was appropriate based on
27 the defendant's need for effective preparation of counsel.

28 //

[PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME
CR 05-00515 RMW

1 SO STIPULATED.

KEVIN V. RYAN
United States Attorney

2 DATED: _____

3 _____/s/
SUSAN KNIGHT
4 Assistant United States Attorney


5 DATED: _____

6 _____/s/
ANGELA HANSEN
7 Assistant Federal Public Defender

8 Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between August 11, 2005 and
9 August 22, 2005 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act. The Court finds that the failure to grant
10 the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of counsel. The Court
11 finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best
12 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal
13 cases. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C.
14 §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16
17 8/22/05
Dated


18 PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
United States Magistrate Judge